



## MAHARASHTRA STATE HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

9, Hajarimal Somani Marg, Opp. Chhatrapati Shivaji Terminus (VT), Mumbai - 400 001  
Tel : 2207 3434 Fax : 2207 3434, 2209 2857 Website : <http://msrc.maharashtra.gov.in>

SHRC/VGM/2/2011/226

Date: 1/2/2011

### Case No. – 1769/2008-09

Name of the complainant : S S Salunkhe  
At Post Rajguru Nagar  
Wada Road, Nr Lokhanda Hospital  
Shivneri Bldg, Tal Khed, Dist Pune.

Vs.

The Superintendent of Police  
Pune (Rural)

Date : 27 January 2011  
Coram : Justice V G Munshi, Member

## ORDER

Read the averments made in the complaint and the report received from Respondent Superintendent of Police, Pune (Rural). Read the case papers. Heard the parties.

2. The important facts of the case of the complainant may be briefly stated as under.

The complainant then worked as Gram Vikas Adhikari at Gram Panchayat, Rajgurunagar. The complainant that on 30.03.2007 in monthly meeting of



Grampanchayat taken Resolution (No.40) about traffic arrangement in the village and its copy was sent to PSI Ghavane.

3. That on 02.05.2007, Shankar Rokade, Gram Panchayat employee at about 2.00 p.m. on telephone abused the complainant and threatened to kill him with life. The complainant then went in Police Station Khed and lodged complaint.

4. The complainant on the same day went in Police Station Khed along with his three children for making inquiry about his complaint, made in Police Station earlier. PSI Ghavane got annoyed. He beaten the complainant. He also beaten children of complainant and put the complainant behind the bars. It was alleged by the complainant that PSI Chavan illegally arrested him. Because of illegal arrest and detention, the image of the complainant is lowered down in the eyes of villagers. It was the grievance made by the complainant that there was violation of his human rights and therefore, he moved the Commission for necessary action.

5. The Respondent Superintendent of Police, Pune (Rural) by filing their report denied and disputed all the allegations made in the complaint. According to them they have taken action against Shankar Rokade by filling NC Case and Chapter proceedings. Secondly, the complainant has already given in writing to Police, that he had no complaint of any type against PSI Ghavane. Lastly, PSI Ghavane was already under the orders of transfer and present complaint was made after his transfer with malafide intention and motive.

6. I have gone through the news item published in various News papers, photographs etc filed on record, letter issued by Sarpanch Grampanchayat, Rajguri Nagar. It show that the complainant really got injuries and he was actually put behind the bars. The children of the complainant were seen standing outside the Police lock up. There is no scope for doubt, that one Gram Panchayat Officer, was not at all, treated properly by Police. On the other hand he was humiliated and insulted by putting him behind the bars. The Gram Panchayat by passing resolution condemned the action taken by PSI Ghavane. From the Record it is prima facie seen that, there was violation of human rights of the complainant at the hands of PSI Ghavane.



R/R,HRG AND CLOSED - RECOMM

7. The complainant now moved the Commission for necessary action against PSI Gahavane. Therefore, inquiry and action is necessary in this matter. I make following recommendations.

- (i) **Superintendent of Police, Pune (Rural) either himself or through some other Senior Police Officer, to make proper inquiry in this matter and to take action if necessary against the said Police Officer.**
- (ii) **Copy of this compliant be sent to Superintendent of Police, Pune (Rural) through Secretary – State Human Rights Commission, Mumbai for information and necessary action. Compliance may be reported.**

With these observations and recommendations Case No. 1769/2008-09 is disposed of accordingly.

**The Secretary to communicate this order to the parties concerned.**



sd/-  
(Justice V G Munshi)  
Member, SHRC