



MAHARASHTRA STATE HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION, MUMBAI

Administrative Staff College Compound, 9, Hajimani Somani Marg, Opp. C.S.T. Mumbai - 400001.
Tel: 22050791, Fax: 22091804/22093678/22078962. Website: <http://mshrc.maharashtra.gov.in>

Case No.69/3/2006-07 1833

dt
20/8/20

Name of the complainant : Sau Vandana P Charjan,
R/o. Samartha Colony, 15-B,
Amravati.
V/S.
The Commissioner of Police,
Amravati.

Date : 27.07.2010.

Coram : Justice Kshiti R. Vyas (Former Chief Justice), Chairperson.

PROCEEDINGS

The complainant is absent at the time of hearing. Respondent Shri Shrikrishna Dhakre, PSI attached to Nandgaon Peth Police Station, Amravati (City) is present at the time of hearing. He has submitted the report.

The complainant has filed 2 complaints dated 11.08.2006 and 23.8.06 in this Commission, where in, she has made allegations against the Dy SP (CID Crime Amravati) Shri Waghmode, of demanding a bribe of Rs 5 lacs from her, failing which to involve her in a murder case. It is also alleged by her that her signature was obtained on the blank papers. In substance it is her allegation that she was falsely involved in the case.

Having gone through the report submitted by DCP Amravati city, it appears, that with respect to the accidental death of one Vaishali P Chorgan, an offence being Cr no 29/2005 was registered at Nandgaon Peth police station. The police after investigation arrested husband Pravin, father-in-law, Vasantrao, mother-in-law, Sindhubai and great mother-in-law, Gayabai, for the offences U/S 498(A) 304 (B) 34 IPC.

It further appears that as the investigation was not carried out properly, it was handed over to CID, Crime for re-investigation. The CID, Crime at the end of the investigation added, some more accused, one Pradeep, the brother-in-law, Madhulika, the wife of Pradeep, and Vandana, the present complainant, the second wife of Pravin,

and PI, Shri M.B.Deshmukh and PSI, Shri K.Y. Apar as accused for the offences U/S. 302,201,120(B),109,217,218 IPC. The charge sheet is already filed against all the nine accused stated above.

Since the allegations made by the complainant against Dy. SP Shri Waghmode of CID, Crime of demanding Rs. 5 Lakhs and on failure to implicate her in the serious offence of murder is not replied and the complainant is already implicated as the accused, in the opinion of the Commission a district enquiry into the allegations of the complainant against Dy. SP, Shri Waghmode of demanding Rs. 5 lakhs is necessary.

The following recommendatory directions shall serve ends of justice and public time. Both the complaints filed by the complainant are transmitted to SP, CID State Crime Branch, Amravati who shall carry out an enquiry regarding the allegation made by the complainant, in both the complaints, in accordance with law. In the event of finding substance in allegations of the complainant, appropriate department^{at} inquiry shall be held against the concern officer in accordance with law. The compliance report shall be filed to the Commission, no sooner the discreet enquiry is over. As far as the Commission is concerne the case is ordered to be closed.



SJ
(Justice Kshitij R. Vyas)
Chairperson.

Mumbai.